IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO. 15631 & 16279 OF 2006

Dated: 11th August, 2006

Between:

Smt. B.Laxmamma and another

Petitioners

AND

The Station House Officer,
Narwa Police Station,
Narwa Mandal, Mehboobnagar Godavari District and others

Respondents

ORAL ORDER:
(Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice P.Swaroop Reddy)

The Writ Petition No. 15631 of 2006 is taken up on the basis of a telegram dated 22.7.2006 sent by Smt. B. Laxmamma, alleging that her husband Mr. B.Ramulu and

her son Mr. B.Anjaneyulu, were illegally detained by the Narwa Police since 22.7.2006; while Writ Petition No. 16279 of 2006 is taken up on the basis of a telegram dated 30.7.2006 sent by one Mr. B.Laxmaiah, alleging that his father Mr. B.Ramulu, his uncle Mr. B.Ramchaiah and his brother-in-law Mr. B. Surender Reddy, were illegally detained by the Narwa Police since 27.7.2006. The petitioners in both the writ petitions apprehend danger to their lives of alleged detenus.

In response to the notice issued by this court, the Sub-Inspector of Police, Narva Police Station, filed common counter affidavit stating that the detenue No.1 Mr. B. Ramulu, is arrested by the police in Cr. No.33 of 2006 registered for the offence under Sec. 8(1) of the A.P. Public Securities Act and he was remanded to judicial custody and was released on bail. Insofar as the other detenus are concerned, it is stated that they are not at all required by the police and in fact they are moving freely. To this extent, a panchanama conducted by the village elders is also filed. It is also stated that Mr. B. Laxmaiah, petitioner in W.P. No. 16279 of 2006 himself is absconding, as he is required in connection with Cr. No. 81 of 2005 of Narayanpet Police Station and a false telegram is sent.

Recording the revelations made in the common

counter and having regard to the fact that the first detenue Mr. B.Ramulu is arrested and released on bail and the other detenus are not at all required by the police and they are moving freely at their respective places and also having regard to the fact that the petitioner in WP No. 16279 of 2006 viz., Mr. B. Laxmaiah himself is required by the police in Cr. No. 81 of 2005 of Narayanpet Police Station, we do not propose to proceed further in the writ petitions. The writ petitions are accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

D.S.R.Varma, J.

_____

P.Swaroop Reddy, J.

11th August, 2006
MAS.